

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Application Serial No. .... 10/748,053  
Filing Date ..... December 30, 2003  
Inventorship ..... Hamaski  
Applicant..... Hewlett-Packard Company  
Group Art Unit .....2444  
Examiner ..... Bayard, Kjename M.  
Confirmation No. ....8338  
Attorney's Docket No. ....200701923-3  
Title: Management of service components installed in an electronic device in a mobile  
services network

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

To: Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant submits the following in response to the Advisory Action mailed  
January 25, 2010 (“Advisory Action”).

### **Remarks**

Applicant appreciates the time afforded by the Examiner the below-listed attorney ("Mr. Trenner") for a telephone conversation on February 24, 2010, and in follow-up on February 25, 2010. During these telephone conversations, Mr. Trenner explained to the Examiner that the last Office Action dated September 11, 2009 was non-final, and therefore the Advisory Action was improper. The Examiner acknowledged that the Advisory Action should not have been issued. The Examiner stated that the Advisory Action would be withdrawn and that she would substantively review the previous Response that Applicant filed on December 11, 2009. The Examiner stated that no action is required by Applicant in order to effect this.

Respectfully Submitted,

/Mark D. Trenner/

Dated: Feb. 27, 2010

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Mark D. Trenner  
Reg. No. 43,961  
(720) 221-3708